PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: September 28, 2015 **Received:** September 21, 2015

Status: Pending_Post

Tracking No. 1jz-8196-berc **Comments Due:** September 24, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

Document: EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-5718

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

Submitter Information

Name: John McBeath

Address:

3311 Wilderness Trail Kissimmee, FL, 34746 **Email:** jmcbeath44@gmail.com

Phone: 407-433-8586

General Comment

I am opposed to that portion of this rule that restricts options trading. I have invested a lot of my time becoming fully educated on options trading and do not need the government to "protect" me in my trades. Furthermore, options can make trading less risky than buying stock outright, so why would you want to restrict them in the name of consumer protection? And if you want to provide "protection" why in the world would you want to prohibit brokerage firms from providing consumer education on using options?

Please eliminate this portion of this regulation.

Sincerely

John W. McBeath 3311 Wilderness Trail Kissimmee, FL 34746